



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,074	12/01/2003	Charles N. Godin	067437-5009US01	2730
67374 MORGAN LE	7590 01/07/2008 WIS & BOCKIUS, LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE MARKET SPEAR STREET TOWER			GORDON, BRIAN R	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/725,074	GODIN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brian R. Gordon	1797		
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDON8	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-8-	<u>·07</u> .			
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10,13-28 and 31 is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10, 13-28,31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·		
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	oate		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/725,074 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed November 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In view of applicant's amendment the previous rejections based upon Shumate,

Powers and Ingenhoven are hereby withdrawn.

The amendment incorporates new matter. Claim 13 suggests the device comprises both clamps and a sealing agent. The previous claims and specification suggests one or the other are used but not in combination (see paragraph [0040]).

As to Bennett, the claim does not specify not preclude any specific clamp arrangement. The o-ring assemblies 22/26 of the upper frame and 67/69 or the lower frame provide clamping functions to secure the respective membranes thereto.

Therefore the assemblies are structurally equivalent to the claimed one or more clamps.

As to the open central region, as seen in the figures diaphragm 68 covers the openings of the wells 60 in the frame.

As to the sealing agent, while Bennett discloses heat sealing, glue and other adhesives are common well known bonding agents for bonding articles together.

Claim Interpretation

2. Claims 3-4, 28, and 31 are not further structurally limiting of the claimed system.

The claims are directed to how the device is intended to be used or arranged with respect to the fluid receptacles that are not elements of the pipetting system. As to

claim 3, is it applicant's intent to further limit the device to include a controller, computer, or other electronic device that allows for automated movement/aligning of the device?

It should be noted that claim 1 recites one **or** more removable pipette tips. The more pipette tips are optional and not required. As such any dependent claims directed to "the removable pipette tip arrays"; "the pipette tip arrays"; or any other variation thereof are considered limiting only when more than on removable pipette tip is present. The examiner suggests applicant amend the claims to be consistent with the "one or more" language of claim 1.

Claim 31 depends upon canceled claim 29.

Specification

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. See response to arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-10, 13-28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennett et al. US 4, 444,062.

Bennett et al. discloses liquid transfer device having a plunger mounted for sliding movement within a hand-held housing, a plurality of rods projecting from said plunger, a barrel section having a plurality of through-passages formed therein equal in number to the number of said rods, means for detachably connecting said barrel means to said housing and elastic diaphragm means adapted to be secured intermediate said housing and barrel means whereby said rods will press said diaphragm into said passages when said barrel means is connected to said housing. The diaphragm can be connected either to the housing over the ends of said rods or may be connected to the barrel means over the passages and the entire barrel means may be formed of plastic material for disposal after a single use. In the disposable form the diaphragm may be of relatively thin material since it does not have to be heavy enough to withstand repeated uses (column 2, line 34).

An elastic rubber membrane 24 of latex completely overlies the recess 16 and the ends of the rods protruding therefrom and the periphery of the membrane is secured

in the groove 22 by means of an endless O-ring 26 having dimensions suitable for press fitting the O-ring into the groove 22.

As seen in Figure 1 the membrane is secured to the upper frame and sandwiched between the two respective sections of the frame.

A shallow groove is formed in the upper surface of the barrel member 52 (frame) which completely surrounds the row of wells 60 (open central regions). A thin plastic diaphragm 68 (membrane) of any suitable plastic material having a limited degree of elasticity is stretched over the top of the wells 60 and secured in the groove 67 by means of an elastic O-ring 69 pressed into the groove 67 (clamping assembly). The groove 67 is in alignment with the grooves 22 in the housing 12 when the barrel member 52 is secured to the housing 12.

An elastic <u>membrane</u> 68' could be secured to the upper surface of the barrel member 52' by any suitable means such as heat sealing (sealing agent) (column 5, lines 21-22).

Threaded apertures 56 and screws 54 help align and claim the portions of the frame together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al. as applied to claims 17-31 above, and further in view of Powers, Ingenhoven et al., or Shumate et al.

Bennett et al. does not disclose the device as being automated.

While a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art, Powers, Ingenhoven et al., or Shumate et al. disclose automated pipette devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to automate the operation the device of Bennett et al. for automated pipettes are conventionally known in the art to ensure accurate handling of liquid and streamlining various methods as well.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hasskamp; Paul R.; Sekine; Teruaki; Byrd; William J.; and Lancaster; Jesse F. disclose multiple-channel pipettes.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian R Gordon/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797